

Gene

MEMORANDUM OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATION
WITH SIR EDWARD PECK
May 14, 1974

Ted Peck called after the NAC meeting May 14 after we'd talked privately and he said that it is going simultaneously to the Nine and the Fifteen tomorrow morning. He indicated that he'd give an advance copy to me, Luns, de Staercke and Francois deRose this afternoon.



f

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
WITH MR. GEORGE H. ALDRICH
DEPUTY LEGAL ADVISER, DEPARTMENT OF STATE
MAY 17-22, 1974 -- WASHINGTON TRIP
SUBJECT: HANDLING OF POSITION OFFERS

When I was in Washington I talked with the Assistant Legal Adviser of the Department of State, Mr. Aldrich. I told him that I periodically received inquiries from companies, some of whom have business with the US Government concerning the possibility of my going to work for them. I asked him what the rules of the Department of State were. I said I wanted to handle it in a proper legal and professional way. I told him that the way I had been handling it is that when I receive such an inquiry and when any discussion was taking place I sent my deputy a note indicating that any business with respect to that firm I wanted him to handle until notified to the contrary. He said there were no rules in the Department of State. He said there were rather complicated rules for the Department of Defense but that none for the Department of State. He said it sounded to him as though I was handling it perfectly and gave me the impression I was handling it in a more sensitive way and a more proper way than the rules the Department even require. He did say he would check with the Department of Defense and get a copy of their rules so that I could be aware of the various ways it could be handled.



May 30, 1974

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
PAOLA PANSA TELEPHONED
Friday, May 31, 1974

Paolo Pansa telephoned me Friday morning, May 31. He asked me if I had any news on the Greek and Turkish situation. He said he had seen an AP report out of Athens saying the United States had urged the Greeks and Turks to use restraint. He said that he was thinking about bringing it up in the Council meeting this morning, that if the situation was better, he probably would not bring it up, but if it was worse, he felt he probably would bring it up, and he wondered if I had any information.

I said that I had no information that it was better, but that that did not lead me to the conclusion that he ought to bring it up in the Council. He said that if it is not better, he said he felt he ought to try and elicit additional support. I said that even though I have no information that it is better, it seems to me that any additional support that he decides to try to elicit can probably better be elicited privately than publicly in the Council. He said fine that he would do that.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
TELEPHONE CALL FROM AMB. DE ROSE
JUNE 4, 1974 10:00 AM

*Tie into
P.R. lunch notes*

Francois de Rose called at 10:00 am June 4. He said he had been working with the Minister, spent forty-five minutes with him and that they in general pleased with the text, the Minister is. It is a good atmosphere subject to discussion on the consultation paragraph; they are ready to go with the Belgian text with one or two small changes and he was wondering if he should say it in the Council today or not. I said really, that was up to him. He said he would like to do it on the basis of a quid pro quo to the effect that the French would yield on the consultation paragraph and agree to the Belgian proposal and we'd come around on paragraphs 8 and 3. I said "Well", I said "really, first we yielded from the US proposal to the UK and if we yielded from the UK to the Belgian it wouldn't be in exchange for anything else. Indeed the reverse could be true." He said, well, he would like to know if it would help me if the French agreed to the Belgian proposal in terms of getting Washington to agree to the Belgian proposal. I said "It certainly wouldn't hurt."



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
TELEPHONE CALL FROM AMB. MENZIES
JUNE 4, 1974 3:35 PM

Arthur Menzies called after the PermRep lunch on June 4. He said he'd talked to Andre de Staercke after the lunch. De Staercke had indicated to him that it looks as though the French will be moving and that it will be possible to complete the Declaration prior to the Ottawa meeting. Apparently de Staercke and now Menzies are attracted to the idea that the President might wish to come to Ottawa and be present at the signing by the Foreign Ministers; that this would be possibly a way of concluding the Declaration exercise at as high a point as possible. It avoids the problem of finding another date for Heads of Government to meet. Menzies said that on the second day there is a luncheon given by the Governor General of Canada. The President could come up and go back in the same day; make a speech at the lunch and then be present for the signing at the Government House after lunch.



Cere- ?
Able

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
Telephone call from SYG Joseph Luns
June 5, 1974 10:00 a/m.

Joseph Luns called today at 10:00 a.m., June 5. He said that Sir Peter Hill-Norton, Chairman of the Military Committee had called him terribly disappointed with the news reports that Schlesinger was not coming to the DPC, and he said that he cannot believe that the Secretary of Defense cannot attend the DPC. Apparently Sir Peter Hill-Norton indicated to Luns that he, Sir Peter, felt that this understanding was that the argument or rational used by the Department of Defense for Schlesinger's non-attendance was soft in that the President will also be out of the country during the period when Schlesinger is in Norway, and that if the argument for his not attending the DPC is that he must be in Washington, ~~xxxxx~~ ~~that out of the country~~ when the President is out of the country, then it falls. Sir Peter feels that the DPC Ministerial is more important than the NPG because of the fact that all of the Ministers are present. The question was asked why couldn't Schlesinger, if it is in fact not a matter of not being able to be out of the country when the President is gone, but rather a matter of not wanting to be out during a long period when the President is gone, wouldn't it be better for the Secretary of Defense to cancel the first part of his trip to Norway, attend the NPG, then come to Brussels for the DPC, and be gone only three or four days, just as he apparently will be under his present schedule?



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
TELEPHONE CALL FROM SYG LUNS
MONDAY, JUNE 10, 1974, early morning

Luns called me on the phone Monday, June 10. He said that the Canadians have offered him a ride from Ottawa to Frankfurt on a plane that would leave the evening of June 20 going from Ottawa to Frankfurt, leaving at 10:30 p.m. from Ottawa, and arriving at Frankfurt at 10:30 a.m.. There is a Sabina flight from Frankfurt to Brussels, which means he would arrive in Brussels late Friday. He has an official engagement in Monaco and would have difficulty meeting it unless he leaves Wednesday evening from Ottawa, or Thursday morning, or noon, or even after noon, but in any event arriving in Brussels either sometime Thursday night or early Friday morning, rather than late Friday.

He asked me to explore with the US Government whether there are any flights coming from North America to Europe that would get him to Brussels prior to the afternoon of Friday, the twenty-first.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
WITH SYG LUNS
NPG CONFERENCE SITE, BERGEN, NORWAY
TUESDAY, JUNE 11, 1974

I talked with SYG Luns at 9:20 a.m., June 11, concerning State 120297, and told him that I wanted him to be aware that Secretary Schlesinger considered inviting the SYG, Defense and Foreign Ministers to visit various bases in the United States after the Ottawa Ministerial.

Luns agreed that it was

(a) a good idea, but that

(b) his (Luns) schedule and the schedules of the Ministers during this period would absolutely preclude such a visit.

He hoped that at some point in the future, with some advance warning, the Ministers might have such an opportunity.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
PHONE CALL FROM SYG LUNS
JUNE 13, 1974

Luns called on Thursday, June 13, and asked if he could talk to Paolo Pansa about our discussion concerning a Brussels summit, and I said no, but that I would try and get Washington to make a decision.

I asked McAuliffe to do a cable.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
TELEPHONE CALL FROM CONGRESSMAN FREY
JUNE 13, 1974 - 2:15 PM

Congressman Lou Frey said that his oldest daughter named Julie, seventeen years old, will be in Switzerland, having won a scholarship to Europe for the summer. She will be leaving from Europe to go back to the United States sometime in early August and will be flying from Luxembourg. Lou Frey asked if we could be of help to her he would appreciate it. He said he would like to have her see Brussels and he just as soon she wasn't floating around Europe completely unattended. Said she is a top student and an outstanding swimmer. I told him I would check the calendar and see if we were going to be around when he thought she would be leaving from Luxembourg, namely August 2nd or 3rd. He said that would be terrific and possibly if we were not going to be around, maybe we could work out some arrangements with someone else.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
MEETING WITH SECRETARY GENERAL LUNS
JUNE 13, 1974 - 3:45 PM

I saw Joseph Luns June 13 and I told him what our position on Atlantic relations, paragraph 11 was. He said he would do what he could to help.



MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
MEETING WITH AMBASSADOR MENZIES
JUNE 13, 1974 - 4:20 PM

I saw Arthur Menzies on June 13. I told him our position on paragraph 11 of the Atlantic Declaration. He said: "Yes, but the French know you want a declaration for the President to sign much more than you want that language."



4

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
TELEPHONE CALL FROM AMBASSADOR DE ROSE
JUNE 13, 1974 - 5:50 PM

Francois de Rose called ten minutes to six, June 13. He said that France feels that they have made a great many changes and they have agreed to much of the UK text and that is as far as they can go. So there we are. I told him what my instructions were and he said well, it looks like the two of them are going to have to settle it. He said he doesn't feel there is anything else he can do, and I said "Well, the sad thing about it is that it leaves you with the impression that the way the script is being written, that the relationship between Kissinger and the new French Foreign Minister is going to pick up where the one with Jobert left off, and that is disappointing," I said.



Mr. McCallister
Mr. Hally
AMB

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
WITH MR. ARTHUR HARTMAN
June 13, 1974 Midnight

1. Art Hartman called the evening of June 13, about midnight. He said I should go ahead and just handle the Firestone-Boochever part of it - of the President's trip personally with them and explain to de Staercke that Firestone hasn't presented his credentials yet.
2. He said that I should tell de Staercke and others that an advance party would be coming but not until after the Cairo trip, probably sometime around the 19th or 20th.
3. He said he agrees that the matter has to go public but he hopes that it will be coordinated with the Presidential party.
4. He said as to the trigger word, he thinks use a firm one, like Plan.
5. He said, on the Declaration, the instructions are get the brackets out -- he'd like them out. That's the goal.
6. He said he thought I ought to be in the bilaterals in Ottawa.

DR

